Appl. No. 10/759,249   Reply to Office Action of July 9, 2008
Response dated Oct. 9, 2008

REMARKS

The July 9, 2008 Office Action rejected all claims, 1-13, pending in the application. Applicant respectfully requests consideration of the enclosed amendments and remarks and issuance of a timely notice of allowance.

In the Claims

Claim Rejections

All claims, 1-13, stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§102 or 103 in view of Lansio et al., U.S. Publication No. 2003/0008640 published on January 9, 2003. For the following reasons stated below, Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

Lansio Reference

In general, Lansio discloses a system and method for improved wireless data transmission using wireless devices. Referring to the passages cited by the Examiner, e.g., Figures 4 and 5 and their corresponding text, Lansio includes a wireless device 400 running a software application 402. The application 402 provides a service, which means that it has to be registered in a name server 412 which maintains a list of services provided by the applications and their addresses. It should be noted that name server 412 is not part of the wireless device 400. The application 402 transmits a registration message to the service provider application 404 which adds information on the address of the application to the registration message so as to enable the message to be stored in the name server. Since the device is a wireless one not knowing its own address, the service provider application replaces the address of the device with a predetermined symbol sequence, i.e. a "self address tag", in the message.

The service provider application then transmits the message to an adapter 420 that adapts the protocol used over the wireless section of the connection to the rest of the network. Lansio discloses that the adapter can be located in several locations, but it is important to note that none of the locations include the wireless device itself. The adapter detects that in the message, the address of the device has been replaced by the self address tag. The adapter then determines the address of the transmitting

Page 4 of 6

device. After the adapter 420 has found out the address of the device that transmitted the message, the adapter replaces the self address tag with the address of the device, and forwards the message to the name server 412. The name server registers the provided service and application and their addresses in the memory. Now another application in some other device 406 is able to access the name service through its own service provider and retrieve the address of the application that provided the service.

Contrary to Lansio, Applicant's amended claims recite that the Internet Appliance includes the network portal and provides the local information to a destination server. It is the Internet Appliance that receives a message addressed to the destination server and includes the substitutable variable name. The Internet Appliance then detects the variable name and replaces the name with a particular value stored in a memory, thereby creating an amended message. The amended message is sent from the Internet Appliance to the destination server.

Applicant's Internet Appliance includes the network portal, unlike Lansio which includes a "dumb" wireless device transmitting to an adapter. The Internet Appliance claimed by Applicant is able to retrieve the local information from memory and replace the variable.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that Lansio fails to teach and every element of Applicant's claims as recited.

Appl. No. 10/759,249   Reply to Office Action of July 9, 2008
Response dated Oct. 9, 2008

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicant requests the withdrawal of the Section 102 and 103 rejections with respect to claims 1-5 and 7-12. Should the Examiner wish to discuss any of the above in greater detail or deem that amendments should be made to improve the application, then the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the Examiner's convenience. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,
Mitel Networks Corporation

Date: _Oct. 9 2008_   By: _____
                                      Michelle R. Whittington, Esq.
                                      Corporate IP Counsel
                                      Reg. No. 43,844

MITEL NETWORKS CORPORATION
7300 W. Boston St.
Chandler, AZ 85226
Direct: (480) 961-9000 x21352
Facsimile:(480) 961-8073
Email: michelle_whittington@mitel.com

Page 6 of 6

PAGE 7/7 * RCVD AT 10/9/2008 8:20:28 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-4/11 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:480 961 8073 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-06